





Remote Sensing of Vulnerability: Damage Estimation of Kahramanmaras Earthquake in Turkiye, 2023

Sadra Karimzadeh

University of Tabriz, Iran Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

January 2024

CONTENT

• Remote Sensing: principles

• Review of past earthquakes studied by remote sensing

• Sentinel Asia mission for emergency response

• Kahramanmaras earthquake, damage mapping and field displacement

REMOTE SENSING OF VULNERABILITY

• Remote sensing of vulnerability (tectonic movements)

Earthquake vulnerability mapping through optical and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imageries

• Remote sensing of vulnerability (non-tectonic movements)

Soil consolidation

Land subsidence

REMOTE SENSING FOR MONITORING

- High performance
- Fast actions
- Low labor work
- Cheaper



© ESA; Sentinel-1 image

ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVELENGTH OF OPTICAL AND SAR SENSORS

- Radar wavelengths are considerably longer than visible wavelengths
- SAR sensors can be used in all-weather conditions
- Several different frequencies are used for radar





©ESA; CSA

 $5 \langle \rangle \rangle$

BEING PASSIVE OR ACTIVE!





©sorabatake.jp

SAR DAMAGE ASSESSMENT CONCEPT



As seen by a nadir looking optical sensor







©Plank, 2014 7 © >

INTERFEROMETRIC SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR (INSAR)

- All-weather tool
- Independent of day and night
- Useful tool to study geophysical events
- A practical tool for building damage estimation



30 YEARS SAR MISSIONS!



SAR REMOTE SENSING OF VULNERABILITY UNTIL 2011

Year	Earthquake	Country	Studies	
1995	Kobe/Hyogokon-Nanbu	Japan	Ito et al. 2000 [95], Yonezawa and Takeuchi 1999 [76], Yonezawa and Takeuchi 2001 [84], Ito and Hosokawa 2002 [96], Matsuoka and Yamazaki 1999 [97], Matsuoka an Yamazaki 2000 [73], Yonezawa et al. 2002 [98], Matsuok and Yamazaki 2004 [99], Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2005 [101], Matsuoka and Nojima 2010 [101]	
1999	Kocaeli/Gölcük	Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2000 [73], Matsuoka an 2002 [102], Ito <i>et al.</i> 2003 [103], Trianni <i>et al.</i>		
1999	Izmit	Turkey	Bignami et al. 2004 [7], Stramondo et al. 2006 [78], Trianni and Gamba 2009 [105], Trianni et al. 2010 [104]	
1999	Chi-Chi/Great Taiwan	Taiwan	Takeuchi et al. 2000 [77], Suga et al. 2001 [92]	
2001	Gujarat	India	Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2002 [102], Yonezawa <i>et al.</i> 2002 [98]	
2003	Boumerdes	Algeria	Trianni and Gamba 2008 [2]	
2003	Bam	Iran	Bignami et al. 2004 [7], Arciniegas 2005 [106], Fielding et al. 2005 [107], Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2005 [100], Stramondo et al. 2006 [78], Arciniegas et al. 2007 [8], Gamba et al. 2007 [94], Hoffmann 2007 [74], Brunner et al. 2010 [108], Trianni et al. 2010 [104]	
2004	Sumatra	Indonesia	Chini et al. 2008 [9]	
2006	Mid Java	Indonesia	Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2004 [99], Matsuoka and Yamazaki 2006 [109], Brunner <i>et al.</i> 2010 [108]	
2007	Pisco	Peru	Trianni and Gamba 2008 [2]	
2007	Chincha	Peru	Matsuoka and Nojima 2010 [101]	
2008	Wenchuan	China	Balz et al. 2009 [110], Wang and Jin 2009 [111], Balz and Lia 2010 [112], Pan and Tang 2010 [113]	
2009	L'Aquila	Italy	Guida <i>et al.</i> 2010 [114], Dell'Acqua <i>et al.</i> 2011 [115], Cossu <i>et al.</i> 2012 [116], Dell'Acqua and Gamba 2012 [24], Dell'Acqua <i>et al.</i> 2013 [117], Brett and Guida 2013 [118]	
2009	Sumatra	Indonesia	Christophe et al. 2010 [21], Kawamura et al. 2011 [119]	
2010	Haiti	Haiti	Uprety and Yamazaki 2012 [10], Brett and Guida 2013 [118]	
2010	Yushu County	China	Jin et al. 2011 [120]	
2011	Tohoku	Japan	Chini et al. 2013 [121]	

©Plank

SAR REMOTE SENSING FOR VULNERABILITY (2011-2021)

• My personal research activity

Year	Earthquake	Country	Studies
2012	Ahar-Varzaghan	Iran	Karimzadeh et al., 2017
2016	Amatrice	Italy	Karimzadeh and Matsuoka 2017; Karimzadeh and Matsuoka 2018
2016	Kumamoto	Japan	Hajeb et al., 2019
2017	Kermanshah	Iran	Karimzadeh et al., 2018; Hajeb et al., 2020; Omarzadeh et al., 2021;
2018	Eastern Iburi	Japan	Karimzadeh and Matsuoka 2018
2020	Petrinja	Croatia	Karimzadeh and Matsuoka 2021

DAMAGE MAPPING IN COLLABORATION WITH SENTINEL ASIA



2023-02-06

Earthquake in Turkey on 06 February, 2023

Emergency Obs. Request Information



Disaster Type: Earthquake Country: Turkey Occurrence Date (UTC): 06 February, 2023 SA activation Date(UTC): 06 February, 2023 Requester: Disaster & Emergency Management Presidency of Turkey (AFAD) Escalation to the International Charter: No GLIDE Number: EQ-2023-000015-TUR

SENTINEL ASIA FRAMEWORK



SENTINEL ASIA FRAMEWORK



Concept of Sentinel Asia Step 3

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE-1

- The earthquakes that struck southeastern Turkey on February 6, 2023, caused extensive damage in Turkey and Syria. Because of the large extent of the damage, the damage information reported from the affected areas after the earthquake did not provide a complete picture of the damage situation, and observation images from satellites equipped with high-resolution optical sensors only cover a limited number of cities. The images are affected by weather conditions, and therefore, the damage situation could not be grasped uniformly.
- One of the observation modes of the weather-independent synthetic aperture radar (SAR)equipped satellites is the wide-area observation function (ScanSAR). Since the affected areas were observed on February 17 and 20 after the earthquake, we examine here whether the damage to buildings could be estimated from PALSAR-2 ScanSAR imagery whose spatial resolution is rather coarse (approx. 30 m).
- Although these images were taken more than 10 days after the earthquake and include not only the immediate post-earthquake situation but also disaster relief activities, we believe that the results provide basic data to demonstrate the effectiveness of the wide-area observation mode in understanding huge disasters such as this event.

BASIC APPROACH FOR DAMAGE ESTIMATION FROM SAR INTENSITY

- ✓ Image matching
- ✓ Speckle noise filtering
- ✓ Calculating following indices,
 - ✓ Difference of backscattering coefficient (after – before)
 - damage < no damage
 - ✓ Correlation coefficient

damage < no damage



16 🔇 📎

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE-2

- We already developed C- and L-bands SAR-based damage estimation models with integration of seismic intensity information based on satellite images observed the 1995 Kobe earthquake and its detailed ground truth data (Nojima et al., 2006; Matsuoka and Nojima, 2010).
- We also developed a discriminant equation for damage estimation with integration of phase and intensity information and its detailed ground truth data for Amatrice (2016) and Kermanshah (2017) earthquakes (Karimzadeh and Matsuoka 2016; Karimzadeh et al., 2018).
- Since these studies have included models (Likelihood Functions) that estimate severe building damage rates from SAR images only, we applied the L-band SAR model to the in Turkey-Syria earthquakes.

能島暢呂, 松岡昌志, 杉戸真太, 江崎賢一:地震動情報と人工衛星SAR画像情報の統合処理による建物全壊率の定量的推定手法の開発, 土木学会論文集A, Vol.62, No.4, pp.808-821, 2006.10.

Matsuoka, M., Nojima, N.: Building Damage Estimation by Integration of Seismic Intensity Information and Satellite L-band SAR Imagery, Remote Sensing, Vol.2, No.9, pp.2111-2126, 2010.9.

Karimzadeh, S., Matsuoka, M., 2017. Building Damage Assessment Using Multisensor Dual-Polarized Synthetic Aperture Radar Data for the 2016 M 6.2 Amatrice Earthquake, Italy. *Remote Sensing, MDPI*, 9(4). doi: 10.3390/rs9040330.

Karimzadeh, S., Matsuoka, M., Miyajima, M., Adriano, B., Fallahi, A., Karashi, J., 2018. Sequential SAR Coherence Method for the Monitoring of Buildings in Sarpole-Zahab, Iran, Remote Sensing, MDPI, 10, 1255, doi:10.3390/rs10081255.

METHODOLOGY

- Variable: SAR intensity difference and correlation
- Procedure: pixel selection for seven damage classes (severe damage ratio) to examine the relationship between indices and damage classes, and proposing following two functions:
- Combined index, ZR, (discriminant score) from Regression discriminant function
- Likelihood function (fragility function) to estimate severe damage ratio from *ZR*

DISCRIMINANT SCORE AND LIKELIHOOD FUNCTIONS



19 🚫 📎

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ZR AND DAMAGE RATIO



This curve is equivalent to the fragility function for damage without seismic intensity information, the severe damage ratio increases with increasing Z_R

20 🔇 📎

FLOWCHART OF DAMAGE ESTIMATION



Equation:

 $d = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \bar{I}a_i - 10 \cdot \log_{10} \bar{I}b_i$ (1) $r = \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} la_i lb_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N} la_i \sum_{i=1}^{N} lb_i}{\sqrt{\left(N \sum_{i=1}^{N} la_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} la_i\right)^2\right) \cdot \left(N \sum_{i=1}^{N} lb_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} lb_i\right)^2\right)}}$ (2) $z_i = -1.210 \ d - 4.360 \ r \quad for \ ERS \\z_i = -1.277 \ d - 2.729 \ r \quad for \ JERS$ (3)

where *i* is the sample number, and Ia_i and Ib_i are the digital numbers of the post- and pre-images, respectively. Ia_i and Ib_i are the corresponding averaged digital numbers over the surroundings of pixel *i* within a 13 × 13 pixel window; the total number of pixels *N* within this window is 169.

Note:

- *1 Pixel size: Equal to the size of spatial resolution of satellite's sensor Pixel value: Power
- *2 Tie point selection: Correlation method Registration: Affine transformation
- *3 Filter type: Lee filter Window size: 21 x 21 pixel
- *4 Window size: 13 x 13 pixel
- *5 Difference (post pre): Average value within a window
- *6 Threshold: approx. < -5dB of pre-earthquake SAR image
- *7 Model: Likelihood functions for building damage estimation based on the dataset from the 1995 Kobe earthquake

PALSAR-2 SCANSAR IMAGERY



Date	Path	Beam	Look	Orbit	
2022/9/5	184	W2	R	Asc.	-
2022/9/16	77	W2	R	Des.	٦l
2023/2/17	77	W2	R	Des.	J
2023/2/20	184	W2	R	Asc.	

Spatial resolution: approx. 30 m Polarization: HH

Building damage estimation for each pre- and post-earthquake pair of ascending and descending orbits, respectively.

DISCRIMINANT SCORE ZRJ MAP



Descending pair

23







カフラマンマラシュ Kahrama



80 - 100



Gaziantep

Malatya



Kayseri



Adana



Osmaniye



Iskenderun



25



Severe Damage Ratio (%) <= 0 0 - 20 20 - 40 40 - 60 60 - 80

80 - 100

26

Nurdagi

Adiyaman



Northern area of Aleppo



Eastern arae of Latakia

Severe Damage Ratio (%) <= 0 0-20 20-40 40-60 60 - 80

18TH WORLD EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING CONFERENCE





MILAN, ITALY 30th JUNE - 5th JULY 2024

www.wcee2024.it

WIDE-AREA DAMAGE PROXY MAPPING BY ALOS-2 SCANSAR IMAGERY ACQUIRED AFTER THE 2023 TURKEY EARTHQUAKES

M. Matsuoka¹, F. Ogushi², N. Nojima³ & S. Karimzadeh⁴

¹ Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, matsuoka.m.ab@m.titech.ac.jp

² Terra Phase, Inc., Yokohama, Japan

³ Gifu University, Gifu, Japan

⁴ University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

KAHRAMANMARAS SENTINEL-1 INTERFEROGRAM



30 🚫 🕥

DAMAGE PROXY MAP FROM SENTINEL-1



DAMAGE PROXY MAP OVER HATAY



32 🔇 📎

DAMAGE PROXY MAP



RGB MAP OF KAHRAMANMARAS (PALSAR-2)

2023.02.06 Kahrmanmaraş Earthquakes





ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 RGB buildings orientation map in Kahramanmaras, Turkey

ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 stellite images L 2.1 FBD (dual polarized) collected by JAXA are used for RGB maps of the buildings in Kahinanamanas. The results are generated from a descending onto between 6 April 2022 and 6 February 2022 False rol (pink) juests indicates abler horozotial or virtual buildings with an ordertation angle possibly close to 0, 00, 160, 270, and 300 degrees. Green points are diagonal buildings with an clientation angle possibly close to 14, 03, 228, and 316 degrees.

© www.rslut.ir (remote sensing laboratory, University of Tabriz, Iran).





34

RGB MOOD MAP OF ANTAKYA AND GAZIANTEP





Any question?!